## **OPINION 2156** (Case 3262)

Nautilus spengleri Gmelin, 1791 (currently Calcarina spengleri) and Calcarina hispida Brady, 1876 (Foraminiferida): usage of the specific names conserved by the designation of a replacement neotype for Nautilus spengleri

**Abstract.** The Commission has ruled that the prevailing usage of both *Nautilus spengleri* Gmelin, 1791 (the type species of the prominent reef foraminiferan genus *Calcarina* d'Orbigny, 1826 (family CALCARINIDAE)) and *Calcarina hispida* Brady, 1876 is conserved by the designation of a replacement neotype for *N. spengleri*. In 1981, H.J. Hansen designated a neotype for *N. spengleri*, but this is a specimen of *C. hispida* Brady, 1876.

**Keywords.** Nomenclature; taxonomy; Foraminiferida; CALCARINIDAE; *Calcarina spengleri*; *Calcarina hispida*; foraminifera.

#### Ruling

- (1) Under the plenary power it is hereby ruled that all type fixations for the nominal species *spengleri* Gmelin, 1791, as published in the binomen *Nautilus spengleri*, are set aside and specimen NHMW Inv. Mi-541 is designated as the neotype of *Nautilus spengleri*.
- (2) The entry on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology for *Nautilus spengleri* Gmelin, 1791 is hereby emended to record that it is to be interpreted by the neotype NHMW Inv. Mi-541 in the Naturhistorisches Museum, Vienna.

### History of Case 3262

An application to conserve the prevailing usage of both *Nautilus spengleri* Gmelin, 1791 (the type species of the prominent reef foraminiferan genus *Calcarina* d'Orbigny, 1826 (family CALCARINIDAE)), and *Calcarina hispida* Brady, 1876 by the designation of a replacement neotype for *N. spengleri* was received from Willem Renema (*Nationaal Natuurhistorisch Museum, Leiden, The Netherlands*) and Johann Hohenegger (*Institut für Palaeontologie, Universität Wien, Wien, Austria*) on 19 December 2002. After correspondence the case was published in BZN **62**: 64–67 (June 2005). The title, abstract and keywords of the case were published on the Commission's website. No comments on this case were received.

#### **Decision of the Commission**

On 1 January 2006 the members of the Commission were invited to vote on the proposals published in BZN 62: 66. At the close of the voting period on 1 April 2006 the votes were as follows:

Affirmative votes: – 19: Alonso-Zarazaga, Bock, Bouchet, Brothers, Calder, Fortey, Halliday, Kerzhner, Lamas, Macpherson, Mahnert, Mawatari, Minelli, Nielsen, Papp, Patterson, Rosenberg, Štys and van Tol.

Negative votes: – none.

No votes were received from Ng and Song.

# Original reference

The following is the original reference to the name on an Official List emended by the ruling given in the present Opinion:

spengleri, Nautilus, Gmelin, 1791, Systema Naturae Linnaei, Ed. 13, vol. 1(6), p. 3371.